

"You are....a boil on the ass of ufology"

- JOHN KEEL, writing in the March 25th, 1977 issue of "Saucer Glues" (one of the former names of "Saucer Smear")

DEDICATED TO THE HIGHEST PRINCIPLES
OF UFOLOGICAL JOURNALISM

SAUCER SMEAR



OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE SAUCER & UNEXPLAINED CELESTIAL EVENTS RESEARCH SOCIETY

EDITOR AND *STILL*
SUPREME COMMANDER:

James W. Moseley

NON-SCHEDULED
NEWSLETTER

Volume 40, No. 2
February 25th, 1993

MAILING ADDRESS:
P. O. Box 1709
Key West, FL
33041

DID THE U.S. ARMY SHOOT DOWN A UFO OVER GERMANY IN 1974??

Departing from our usual negative pattern, "Smear" now presents the outline of a pro-UFO incident that allegedly occurred in Germany back in 1974, at the height of the now-defunct Cold War. The story has been given to us by a man whose identity we know, but who will be known here as "Dr. B". This man claims this story to be the first real "Smear" exclusive in our forty year history, and he may be right.

On the night of May 23rd-24th, 1974, men of the 32nd Air Defense Command of the U.S. Army were deployed in a mountain pass northeast of Ramstein Air Force Base, Germany. They were on "special duty" because the Russians and/or Warsaw Pact forces had been messing around, trying out the Western air defenses, this being a common tactic on both sides. On this eventful night, two Chapparral missile emplacements were among the weapons deployed with live ammunition in this valley, not far from a whorehouse. (We kid you not; Sex and Saucers once again!)

To make a long story short, apparently a UFO rather than a Russian MIG or any other conventional airplane was actually shot down, and the remains of it were flown in a highly secret manner to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, and Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada.

The narrative, as given to us by "Dr. B.;" is contained in an 11-page double-spaced typed manuscript, which is the essence of a forthcoming book he will write on the subject. Several names of personnel involved in the incident are given in the manuscript, but we have no way of knowing if these names are real or not. We did have a phone conversation several weeks ago with one of the participants, but he refused to give his name, for fear that he would get in trouble for breaking Security, even after all this time.

The format of "Smear" does not allow space for us to print the whole story, and we don't want to ruin it by chopping it up in our own way, since your editor has practically no knowledge at all of military jargon. We therefore offer this 11-page tract to our readers at \$5 per copy. This, we repeat, is not a clever new way to bilk our non-subscribers, but merely our only way of giving you the story intact, as presented by "Dr. B." - Please make checks & money orders out to James Moseley, not "Saucer Smear".

Our readers can also reach "Dr. B." by mail, either before or after

reading the tract, by writing to him care of "Saucer Smear", at our address. "Dr. B." is hoping that, as with the Roswell case, new leads will show up as a result of the "Smear" publication.

How do we feel about the story's truth or lack thereof? As George Adamski so charmingly put it, "Time will Tell"....

SNOW WHITE AND THE SEVEN DWARFS???

Budd Hopkins, concluding another article in the MUFON UFO Journal about the Linda Napolitano case, has this to say: He is referring to the case in general and to the actual moment in Nov. 1989 when Linda allegedly floated out her apartment window, surrounded by small extraterrestrial beings:

"...The very nature and importance of this encounter will mark it as an essential target for assault. The usual, tired old 'official' debunkers have already risen to the attack, dutifully spreading the usual lies, trashing reputations and sowing doubts. There are signs that more insidious disinformation agents are also busy. Sadly, it will only get worse before it gets better. Janet Kimble saw Linda...in that beam of light as Snow White, an accidental, but apt, description of her natural innocence and unspoiled character. Unfortunately, Linda and the rest of us will soon be seeing and hearing from a disheartening array of intellectual and ethical dwarfs, trampling the truth beneath their muddy little feet."

UPDATE ON THE LINDA NAPOLITANO CASE

Previous issues of "Smear" have outlined this strange series of incidents, and we have told you of the crucial meeting at Budd Hopkins' New York City home, on Oct. 3rd, 1992, at which proponents and opponents of the case expressed their views to each other for several hours.

It turns out that the two key figures there were Jerry Clark and George Hansen. Clark can well be called the titular head of all ufology, as he is a Vice President of the Center for UFO Studies (CUFOS), founded by the late Dr. J. Allen Hynek, and is editor of its journal. Though not a scientist, Clark is an established professional writer & author of several historical volumes about ufology. Hansen is a parapsychological investigator who was not well known in ufology until he involved himself in the Linda case. (However, he and Clark both recently wrote anti-CSICOP articles which were quoted in part in successive issues of "Smear".)

Clark, a very close personal friend of Budd Hopkins, was flown to New York from Minnesota at Hopkins' expense, either for "moral support" against the critics, or to mediate, or both. Clark asked for a six-month moratorium on research by the critics (but presumably not by the proponents), and this was acceptable to everyone present except Hansen, who insisted that since statutory crimes (kidnapping, attempted murder, etc.) were involved, he was going to report these crimes to the proper authorities. He asked Clark and the others present who seemed to believe Linda's story, to back him in this, and they refused, for complex reasons. They saw no benefit in calling the attention of the "real world" to the Linda case in this particular way, although of course the case had already been widely publicized, mainly by Hop-

Braun Adds a Little Dash to Name

Washington Bureau
Chicago Sun-Times

WASHINGTON—From now on, address Illinois' newest member of the Senate as Sen. Carol Moseley-Braun.

The Chicago Democrat requested that a hyphen be inserted between her maiden name and the name of her ex-husband, Michael Braun, before she was given the oath of office Tuesday.

"She doesn't want her given name lost," said Stacy Grundman, Braun's spokeswoman. "Some people have called her Sen. Braun."

Instead of voting early in roll calls and congressional records with the "B's," Moseley-Braun will be grouped with the "M's."

During her years as Cook County recorder of deeds, the senator was Carol Moseley Braun.



Dr. Jack Kevorkian
Suicide Specialist



Choose from old favorites such as lethal drugs, toxic chemicals, noxious gasses, or poison herbs, to modern miracle suicide machines!

"Don't take risks with a back-alley quack... when you gotta die quick, call Dr. Jack!!!"

Lifetime Guarantee

kins himself.

Clark became enraged by a follow-up phone call from Hansen 3 days later, and wrote a "paper" blasting Hansen, calling his anti-Hansen article "The Politics of Torquemada, or Earth Calling Hansen's Planet". This has been published in full in a UFO zine called "Orbiter" (P.O. Box 652, Reading, Ma. 01867). Torquemada, as we never knew till then, is the name of an infamous executioner with the Spanish Inquisition, centuries ago. Is this merely a high-class joke by Clark, or an intended insult? Hansen doesn't know, and Clark won't say!

Hansen has responded with a 25-page "paper", co-authored with fellow Linda critics Joseph Stefula and Richard Butler. It is called "A Critique of Budd Hopkins' Case of the UFO Abduction of Linda Napolitano". The writing style is Hansen's, though help and research were provided by the other two men.

In this treatise, Hansen has done an excellent job of summarizing the high points of the case, the investigation to date, the more obvious flaws in the case, and the attitudes of the several proponents. Clark now claims to be neither a believer nor a non-believer in the case, but his attitude is crucial, and Hansen explores it, at times descending to sarcasm and overstatement - for which, of course, Clark himself is noted. On the whole, however, it is a scholarly work, and essential to anyone who really wants to try to understand this extremely complicated matter.

As with the alleged German UFO incident, "Smear's" 8-page format does not allow us to do justice to this 25-page tract; but since the Linda Napolitano syndrome is obviously the most sensational UFO matter to astound us since the case of Ed Walters of Gulf Breeze, Fl., we urge "Smear" non-subscribers to read Hansen's tract and read it carefully. It can be obtained for \$4 not from us, but from book dealer Bob Girard, at: 1443 S.E. Port St. Lucie; Port St. Lucie, Fl. 34952....

MISCELLANEOUS RAVINGS:

The January issue of the MUFON UFO Journal (now called the Mutual UFO Network UFO Journal) contains an article entitled, "The Last Word on Ed Walters?" The question mark is appropriate, as there may never be a last word on this case. In brief, MUFON's reinvestigation of the case concludes that the UFO model found in Ed's former home was not made by him, and that Tommy Smith's testimony against Ed is inaccurate. We agree about the model, but MUFON gives no reason why Smith would lie, and they ignore the testimony of other former teenagers whom Ed knew. Our conclusion is, as it has always been, that the case is a hoax, but we still like Ed and wish him well. Incidentally, rumor has it that Ed and his wife Frances are (again) about to be divorced, and that Ed is working on a second book....

The Nov.-Dec. 1992 issue of the International UFO Reporter (IUR) has a very interesting article about our old pal the late Gray Barker, who died in 1984. The author is Michael Swords, a natural sciences professor, who spent three days at the Clarksburg, West Virginia library, where Barker's files are now open to the public. Swords wrote a well-researched article, but he could not learn as much about Barker in three days as your editor learned over a period of more than thirty years. We have therefore written a long letter to the editor of IUR (who happens to be Jerry Clark!), which hopefully will be published in the next issue of that semi-prestigious zine.

....Among the forthcoming UFO conventions on the horizon are Tim Beckley's annual New Age conclave in San Diego, which will take place March 12th-15th at the Lafayette Hotel in that city. For further details write to Beckley at: 11 East 30th St., #4-R, New York, N.Y. 10016. Unfortunately, your "Smear" editor will not be able to attend this time.

However, we will be at Pat Marcattilio's 4th annual "UFO/ ET/ Human-

Jeane Dixon Predicts Bush Victory

Renowned psychic Jeane Dixon predicted in the tabloid *The Star* on October 20, 1992, that George Bush would "eke out a win" in the 1992 presidential election.

oids/ Visitors/ Aliens & Abduction Congress" (Eeeek!), on April 16th-18th at the Days Inn on Route #206 in Bordentown, N.J. Marcattilio can be reached at: 138 Redfern St., Trenton, N.J. 08610....

A court date of May 24th, 1993 has finally been set for Dr. Eldon Byrd's lawsuit against James ("The Amusing") Randi and others. (See Pages 1 to 3 of the Oct. 10th, 1991 "Smear" for the fascinating details.) Randi claims that he is broke and has no lawyer! Stay tuned!....

On July 5th, one day after the close of the MUFON convention in Richmond, Va. there will be a demonstration in front of the White House in Washington, D.C. This event has been organized by members of "Operation Right to Know", who believe strongly that there is a conspiracy by our government to keep the Truth about UFOs from the public. One leader of "Operation Right to Know" is Ed Komarek, who was the local chairman of the recent National UFO Conference in Tallahassee, Florida. Your "Smear" editor will attend the MUFON conference as well as the White House demonstration - in disguise, of course. For further info., Ed Komarek can be reached at: Rt. 3, Box 1076, Thomasville, Ga. 31792....

The first issue of a hip (or is it hep?) new UFO zine has come our way, called "The Excluded Middle". We don't quite know what that means, but it is run by three philosophically-inclined guys who seem to have some interesting thoughts, and they do have a mature sense of humor (unlike "Smear"). An interview with our pal Robert Anton Wilson is included in this issue. The zine is non-scheduled, \$2.00 per issue, \$7.00 per year. Write to: P.O. Box 1077, Los Angeles, Ca. 90048....

Speaking of feuds, probably the least known and least important of all ufological disputes still grinds on, after almost two years, mainly between an obscure Long Island, N.Y. lecturer named Bill Knell, and an equally obscure individual in Massachusetts named Dave Chase, who calls himself "The Open File Confidential Communications Network" (TOFCCN). We call him "Open File" for short. Others involved include researcher John Ford of Long Island and researcher/lecturer Antonio Huneeus of New York City. We of "Smear" suffered the wrath of Knell early last year, mainly because we stuck up for our friend Antonio in our zine, he being a better-informed lecturer than Knell will ever be. After a few serious death threats from Knell, your "Smear" editor pretended to resign in favor of the mythical OISEAU, as some of our readers will recall. At present, Knell and "Open File" continue to battle it out in very complicated ways, but mainstream ufology staggers on without them.

YE OLDE MAIL BAG:

The above-mentioned ROBERT ANTON WILSON writes:

"Of course, I acknowledge the possibility that everything Linda Napolitano says may 'be' true, or have some kind of truth in it. In fact, my own reading (such as it is) in the growing literature of (a) child sexual abuse (b) child Satanic abuse (c) UFO abductions (d) the 'paranormal' in general & 'poltergeists' in particular - and (e) background in the European lore of fairy abductions - all leads me to suspect that something very ugly but also very interesting underlies all these reports. I have dozens of theories about that something, but no definite conclusions.

"Meanwhile, Clark's claim of concrete evidence proving that the experience (at least in Linda's case) has either 'objective' reality or at least 'legal' reality still seems to me inconsistent with not notifying some kind of law enforcement, unless one assumes the most paranoid of conspiracy theories - 'everybody is in on the cover-up but me and my 20 best friends', which always gets modified in time to 'my 10 best friends' (the others were CIA agents) and then 'my two best friends' (we finally spotted the aliens.)

"...I entirely agree with your verdict in 'Smear' Vol. 40, No. 1, that

POEM

TITLE: DECEMBER 2

Lower-back pain began again today.
 My one true love
 is gone.
 I masturbate gloomily near a pile of worthless lottery
 tickets.
 I have started to drink.

I would end it all;
 however.
 I have purchased a book on extraterrestrials.
 They will bring joy soon.

SERVICES
 ALIEN IMPLANTS: 190 MILLION PEOPLE
 have them. We Remove them; Implant Energy
 Boards keep them out; Also Environment-Food
 Boards. 11am-11pm (505-531-2489) (NO)

UFOs represent part of 'a vast spectrum of Fortean events which seem unsolvable, but which should convince us that this universe is a much more complex place than most people have ever imagined.' I would go a bit further with that line of thought. As several modern scientists have observed, no model or paradigm ever covers all the universe; every model covers part, not all. As Korzybski said, the map cannot show all the territory. As Glieck said, the only model equal to the whole universe would have the same size as the whole universe itself; every other model 'in our heads' represents simplification and reduction.

"In other words, when future science has produced a New Paradigm that explains every Fortean phenomenon that now puzzles us, there will still remain other things outside the New Paradigm to continue to puzzle us.

"People either understand this relationship between our knowledge and the whole universe immediately and intuitively, or nothing in logic or rhetoric can ever persuade them to understand it. In the latter case, they will eventually land in the Communist Party, the Vatican, or CSICOP...."

CAPTAIN KEVIN RANDLE of Roswell fame writes:

"Dear your Supremeness:

"There seems to be a phenomenon involved in UFO research where people make assumptions about facts that are not in evidence. Christopher Allan writes, '...(Len) Stringfield did not consider Marcel's 1978 testimony important enough for inclusion (in Stringfield's July 1978 MUFON paper).'

"I have spoken to Len and he said that the reason the Marcel testimony was not included was because he didn't have it when the paper was written. He prepared the paper early....Len sent the paper off in March and then talked to Marcel in April. Allan's assumptions are just that. Assumptions.

"...Allan has taken interviews conducted by others and interpreted them the way he wants. He is not responding to the points made by Marcel, but those made by others. Our point is borne out in the interview conducted in 1978 when Marcel said, 'I have never seen anything like that. I don't know what we were picking up. I still don't know.... It came to Earth but it was not from Earth.'"

The semi-mysterious BILL MOORE writes from California:

"Once again British skeptic C.D. Allan has shot off his mouth without having first determined what the facts are. Hopefully the enclosed copy of my letter to him will set the record straight...."

"With respect to FOCUS, subscribers should not have to wait too much longer for publication to be resumed. Things are in process in spite of delays caused by continuing personal difficulties..."

Moore can be reached at: 4219 West Olive Ave., #247; Burbank, Ca.91505. - Ed.

REX SALISBERRY of Gulf Breeze fame writes as follows:


"....The hoaxer of some of the UFO sightings at the end of the Pensacola Bridge has declined to talk to me. He has personal circumstances which dictate against letting his identity become public. He also, and correctly I think, fears retaliation from some of the local MUFON buffs. The information which I have is second hand, although I believe it to be accurate. He supposedly used 'sparklers' and party balloons for his 'UFOs'. It is reported that on one occasion he launched his hoax from the east side of the bridge while the True Believers were directly across from him on the west side. I have not personally checked the dates, but the event seems to agree with one of the 'valid' sightings which was claimed. This individual did not launch very many hoaxes, which leaves many more of the claimed sightings open to debate. I repeat, however, that a motorboat pulling a kite is a reasonable vehicle to produce almost any kind of 'UFO' you want. Fine wires could be used as kite string to power an electrical display or fire a squib for various pyrotechnics...."

In our last issue, we accidentally omitted space expert JIM OBERG from the list of people with whom Jerry Clark appears to be having long-drawn-out feuds. The following letter was written by Oberg very recently, not to us but to Mark Rodeghier, Director of the J. Allen Hynek Center for UFO Studies, publisher of the International UFO Reporter (IUR):

"...I have located the controversial passage in my book, and was amazed to see how grievously Mr. Clark had misinterpreted and misrepresented it. Since it wasn't even about ufologists, it wasn't in the sections I had been searching. As you will recall, I was complaining about his editorial in the March/April 1992 IUR, P. 22, which alleged that I've depicted ufologists as cryptofascists. Clark's statement was: 'In "UFOs and Outer Space Mysteries" (1981) Oberg compared UFO groups to the 'bizarre irrational...cults which preceded the fall of democratic Germany in the 1920s.'

"What I actually said on P. 102, referring to wild claims about alien civilizations on the moon, and the irresponsible way that some major publishers had been promoting books about this in the 1970s, was: 'If the moon myths in themselves are not so damaging, the atmosphere which allows them to flourish and often triumph is certainly an intellectually crippling one, all too reminiscent of the bizarre irrational and anti-science cults which preceded the fall of democratic Germany in the 1920s'. The sentence, paragraph, even the whole chapter, did not mention either ufologists or nazis or any kind of fascists (crypto or otherwise), and Clark's portrayal of the passage the way he did is incompetent...or obscenely dishonest. I expect you will print a correction, retraction, and apology in the next available issue...."

"Meanwhile, I did find in my book a passage which perhaps does require amendment: On P. 112 I wrote that Jerry Clark was 'a diligent and highly-principled' investigator, but perhaps I was wrong."



Researcher KEVIN DANZEY writes:

"...I have been in the past something of an admirer of Jim Oberg, based upon my exposure to some of his magazine articles and the reading of his book, 'Red Star in Orbit', but lately I find myself disappointed in him. Oberg's cheap-shot remarks about Beckjord and Hoagland, which go beyond attacking their positions to questioning their intelligence, is mean-spirited and beneath contempt. I think Oberg would do well to take a closer look at himself and his motives, before perpetrating such attacks on others and their beliefs...."

"As for the STS-48 tape, why is Oberg interested in hearing 'descriptions of the hottest versions (readers) have heard of the space mystery'? Is he still looking for the answer? I suggest a seance, where he might try to summon the spirit of George Adamski for a clue. 'Time will tell, Jim!'"

The inevitable ERIK BECKJORD writes as follows:

"Some thoughts on the 40th anniversary of 'Smear': 'Smear' has been valuable in making sure that nobody gets too important, or begins to believe their own lies.

"Oberg replied to me re the Discovery UFOs, but said virtually nothing, and has not yet been able to show how an adjustment rocket, when fired (and by his theory, causing the flash associated with the alleged UFO movements) could manage to adjust the position of a shuttle by even 1/10th of a degree, and still not have the TV camera show any change in the scene. Hoagland proved this in his video presentation, and Oberg simply puts out a lot of bluster with no real reply.

"What no skeptic has yet been able to address is why some of the Discovery UFOs look a lot like the Mexico City UFOs, and behave the same way."

Recently "Smear" gave our 1992 "Ufological Confusion Award", unofficially and facetiously, jointly to Jerry Clark and Phil Klass, for their endless negative letters to each other. Klass took it in good humor, whereas Clark was annoyed. Regarding this situation, PHIL KLASS writes as follows:

"I'm not surprised at Jerry ('Torquemada') Clark's response to your 'Ufological Confusion Award' announcement. As indicated in my letter of Jan. 8th, I was deeply honored - because I so seldom receive such honors for my 'Aviation Week & Space Technology' efforts. If I had ever won the Isabel Davis Award (as Clark has), naturally I would be more snobbish.

"After all, there are millions of persons around the globe, including Somalia, who have never heard of the Nobel Prize or a Pulitzer Prize, who are not only familiar with the Isabel Davis Award, but worship at the feet of those who are selected for this coveted award."

Our old friend science writer DANIEL COHEN writes:

"Forty years already! Why, it seems like just yesterday. But I am not writing you simply to comment on the passing of time. I was somewhat depressed to see your note that Jerry Clark is now involved in an exceptionally childish feud. Jerry and I agree on very little, but I have always liked him, and regarded him as one of the reasonably sane and honest people in the shrinking Ufological world. It is, I guess, the case of the one-eyed man in the kingdom of the blind. If even you find what is going on so irrational as to be unworthy of comment, I am intrigued. Are you going to comment in some future issue, and if not, can you let me know what is going on? I have no hidden agenda here, just morbid curiosity."

We try to stay friendly with Clark, & thus have already said quite enough. -Ed.

IF YOU HAVE SEEN

THE UNKNOWN???

TOFCCN offers a confidential 'Network' for you to share your encounters of the "UNEXPLAINED"?
PARANORMAL-UFO "FREE"

The OPEN FILE CCN

P.O. BOX 91001

SPRINGFIELD, MA 01139-1001

DR. GARY POSNER of CSICOP writes as follows:

"In your Jan. 10th comments in 'Smear' about Jerry Clark's 'feuds' with myself and others, you said: 'At this point we are not stating who is right or wrong.' Then at least allow me to state who is wrong.

"As you know, my letter in the Winter 1978 'Skeptical Inquirer' about CSICOP's 'Uri Award' nominations for the most 'silly' and 'naive' paranormalists....cautioned that crazy-sounding paranormalists may actually be crazy (even if only intermittently due to a thought disorder such as ambulatory schizophrenia), and thus ought not to be subjected to such public ridicule.

"Perhaps Clark thought he saw himself in my description. In any event, as he has insisted upon doing through the intervening years despite my vigorous protests, Clark again falsely implied in his March/April 1992 'International UFO Reporter' editorial that I had proposed 'ambulatory schizophrenia' as a plausible blanket explanation for 'belief in UFOs'. In the same paragraph he recklessly accused Jim Oberg of 'depicting us (ufologists) as cryptofascists' in his 1981 book, 'UFOs and Outer Space Mysteries'.

"Clark's editorial (like several of his subsequent personal letters) singled out myself, Phil Klass, Jim Oberg, Robert Sheaffer and James Randi as representatives of CSICOP, which he maligned thusly: 'For CSICOP it is not enough to say that those with whom it disagrees are wrong. It must also depict them as loathsome human beings'. The fact that these CSICOP members have not even singled out Clark for depiction as a 'loathsome human being' (as far as I am aware) speaks volumes about our tolerance of even our most virulent detractors. Even my lawyer is demanding from Clark merely a correction of his libels against me.

"You've told me on the phone (and in post cards and a letter - must I release them?) who you think is wrong, at least in this 'feud'. How about mustering the courage to tell your readers?"

We have told Dr. Gary that we do agree with him on this particular point, but our editorial in the Jan. 10th "Smear" includes him among the "professionally trained people who spend so much time and energy on childish endeavors". His troubles with Clark on this point go back many years.-Editor.

Whereas CSICOP critic TOM McIVER writes:

"Regarding Jerry Clark's 'feuds' with Klass, Posner, Hansen, and Smith: It is unfair to call them all 'feuds'. Posner is threatening Clark with legal action because of his IUR editorial - the editorial which you reprinted in 'Smear' and said you agreed with '100%'. Clark is defending himself against this legal threat.

"Posner claims that Clark, by noting Posner's suggestion in 'Skeptical Inquirer' that many paranormal believers may be suffering from ambulatory schizophrenia, misrepresents him. He also objects to Clark's statement that CSICOP depicts those with whom it disagrees as 'loathsome human beings' - though CSICOP leader Klass has written: 'When I see how falsehood and misrepresentation are being used to attack CSICOP, I realize more than ever how evil are its opponents'. (Posner is also complaining that Hansen, who likewise simply reported his ambulatory schizophrenia suggestion in an article on CSICOP in JASPR, also misrepresented him.)

"Smith has attacked Clark and tried to discredit him by investigating his personal life, but I wouldn't call that a 'feud'"

NEW PORT RICHEY, Fla. —
Bound, gagged and trussed up nude in a denim bag, with plugs in her ears and tape over her eyes, Cleveland teacher Linda L. Sharpe told yesterday how she was kidnaped to Florida, not knowing where she was going or why. *The (Cleveland) Plain Dealer 6/14/78*

**Kid's pajamas
to be removed
by Woolworth**

Greenwich Time 5/18/77

**Pastor aghast
at First Lady
sex position**

Alamogordo (N.M.) Daily News 8/13/75